

1
2
3
4
5

6 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

7
8
9

10 JOHN PORTIS, No. C 07-3975 WHA (PR)
11 Plaintiff, **ORDER OF DISMISSAL**

12 v.

13 Deputy G. ARNOLD; Deputy M.
14 IBARRA; Deputy K.
HENDRICKSEN; Deputy Sergeant
15 SHAULL;

16 Defendants. /

17 Plaintiff, a California prisoner proceeding pro se, filed this pro se civil rights complaint
18 under 42 U.S.C. 1983. After the complaint was dismissed with leave to amend, plaintiff filed a
19 timely amended complaint.

20 Federal courts must engage in a preliminary screening of cases in which prisoners seek
21 redress from a governmental entity or officer or employee of a governmental entity. 28 U.S.C.
22 § 1915A(a). In its review the court must identify any cognizable claims, and dismiss any claims
23 which are frivolous, malicious, fail to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, or seek
24 monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. *Id.* at 1915A(b)(1),(2). Pro
25 se pleadings must be liberally construed. *Balistreri v. Pacifica Police Dep't*, 901 F.2d 696, 699
26 (9th Cir. 1990).

27
28

Plaintiff filed his amended complaint purportedly on behalf of himself and a "class" of

United States District Court

For the Northern District of California

1 other individuals who were inmates at the Santa Rita County Jail with plaintiff at the time of the
2 incidents complained of in the complaint. Plaintiff seeks class certification and to proceed as a
3 class action on behalf himself and other inmates, claiming that defendants violated their rights
4 under the state and federal constitutions. Pro se prisoner plaintiffs may not bring class actions.
5 They are not qualified to act as class representatives as they are unable to fairly represent and
6 adequately protect the interests of the class. *See Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a); Oxendine v. Williams,*
7 509 F.2d 1405, 1407 (4th Cir. 1975); *see also Russell v. United States*, 308 F.2d 78, 79 (9th Cir.
8 1962) (holding that “a litigant appearing in propria persona has no authority to represent anyone
9 other than himself”).

10 Accordingly, this case is **DISMISSED** without prejudice to plaintiff’s filing a new action
11 solely on his own behalf.

12 The clerk shall close the file.

13 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

14 Dated: January 28, 2010.

15 
16 WILLIAM ALSUP
17 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

28 G:\PRO-SE\WHA\CR.07\PORTIS975.DSM.wpd